Carboxylation of methane with CO or CO₂ in aqueous solution catalysed by vanadium complexes

Galina V. Nizova, Georg Süss-Fink, *b Sandrine Stanislasb and Georgiy B. Shul'pin*a

^a Semenov Institute of Chemical Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences, ul. Kosygina 4, Moscow 117977, Russia. E-mail: Shulpin@chph.ras.ru

E-mail: Georg.Suess-Fink@ich.unine.ch

Reaction of methane with CO or CO_2 in aqueous solution in the presence of O_2 (catalysed by $NaVO_3$) or H_2O_2 (catalysed by $NaVO_3$ -pyrazine-2-carboxylic acid) at 25–100 °C affords acetic acid and in some cases also methanol, methyl hydroperoxide and formaldehyde.

The direct conversion of methane, the least reactive representative of the very inert saturated hydrocarbon family, into valuable chemical products under mild conditions is a challenging problem of metal complex catalysis. A very small number of publications have appeared that describe the homogeneous carboxylation of methane in the absence 2a or in the presence $^{2b-e}$ of soluble metal compounds (see also recent works on theoretical study of carbonylation 3a and carboxylation with the participation of solid metals 3b).

We have found that heating an aqueous solution of sodium vanadate in the presence of methane, carbon monoxide and air gives rise to the formation of acetic acid, as well as, in smaller amounts, of methanol and formaldehyde (Table 1).† The yield of acetic acid attains 3700% based on vanadium after 50 h at 100 °C, the total turnover number being 49. In the absence of either VV or CH₄, no products have been detected. When a larger concentration of vanadate $(1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3})$ was used, in the absence of air, only 0.2×10^{-3} mol dm⁻³ of MeCO₂H was formed after 16 h at 100 °C. While in the course of the reaction with air the solution remains pale vellow, in the case when air is absent the colour of the solution becomes blue indicating the formation of a VIV derivative. Thus it can be concluded that atmospheric oxygen is capable of reoxidizing the VIV species formed in the reaction between methane, VO₃⁻ and CO. The yield of acetic acid and its relative content in the mixture of the products increases with the increase of partial CO pressure (Table 2). A comparison to Sen's catalytic system (RhCl₃/KI), which gives 790% of acetic acid (based on Rh) after 20 h at 80 °C, 2e shows that the inexpensive sodium vanadate is more active giving a yield of 3300% of acetic acid (based on V) after 25 h at 100 °C.

Table 1 Carboxylation of methane by carbon monoxide in the presence of air catalysed by NaVO₃ in aqueous solution^a

		Products (concentration/10³ mol dm ⁻³)			
T/°C	t/h	MeCO ₂ H	МеОН	НСНО	
80	5	0.3	0.2	0.03	
	15	0.6	0.4	0.1	
	25	1.0	0.6	0.5	
100	6	2.0	0.9	0.6	
	25	3.3	1.1	1.0	
	50	3.7	1.9	1.2	

^a Conditions, see Footnote †. Pressures and concentrations: CH₄, 50 bar; CO, 15 bar; synthetic air, 15 bar; NaVO₃, 1.0×10^{-4} mol dm⁻³; initial pH = 7.30.

Hydrogen peroxide can be used instead of molecular oxygen as reoxidizing agent in the carboxylation using pyrazine-2-carboxylic acid (PCA)⁴ as a co-catalyst; no products are detected in the absence of PCA. In this case the selectivity of the reaction depends strongly on temperature and CO pressure (Table 3),‡ the carboxylation at room temperature and relatively high CO pressure yielding acetic acid as a sole product. The initial rate of acetic acid accumulation depends linearly on the initial pressure of methane (when this pressure < 50 bar) and on initial concentration of hydrogen peroxide (when $[H_2O_2] < 0.1$ mol dm⁻³). The role of PCA in aqueous solution is not completely clear; on the basis of preliminary investigations we can assume that PCA stabilises an active vanadium peroxo species, while the protons from PCA simultaneously facilitate the substitution of coordinated water ligands by H₂O₂ in the coordination sphere of vanadium. The reaction of ethane (20 bar) with CO (5 bar) and H₂O₂ (0.1 mol dm⁻³) in the presence of NaVO₃ $(1.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3})$ gave after 2 h at 40 °C propionic acid (3.0 \times 10⁻⁴ mol dm⁻³) and acetic acid (3.3 \times 10^{-3} mol dm⁻³).

Interestingly, the carboxylation of methane also occurs, when carbon dioxide is used instead of carbon monoxide. Under the conditions described in Table 3, the yield of acetic acid is 2000% based on vanadium after 30 h at 40 °C; methanol was also observed (10^{-4} mol dm $^{-3}$). No acetic acid can be detected, when the reaction was carried out in the absence of either CH₄ or CO₂.

We believe that the reaction involves hydrogen atom abstraction from methane by a radical or radical-like species. In the case of hydrogen peroxide as an oxidising agent, this species could be a hydroxyl radical or vanadium peroxo complex.⁴ The vanadate anion (like permanganate or chromate ions) can also add hydrogen from an alkane to one of oxygen atoms reducing V^V into V^{IV}.⁵ The methyl radicals thus formed will react⁶ with CO to give the radicals RCO^o and then, after interaction with O₂, produce the radicals RCOO^o and peroxyacetic acid. If carbon dioxide is used as carboxylating reagent, in the first stage CO₂ is apparently reduced into CO by methyl or/and hydroxyl

Table 2 Carboxylation of methane by carbon monoxide in the presence of air catalysed by NaVO₃ in phosphate aqueous buffer solution at various pressures of CO^a

	Products (concentration/10³ mol dm ⁻³)			
Pressure CO/bar	MeCO ₂ H	МеОН	нсно	
5	0.0	1.3	0.1	
10	0.2	1.4	0.3	
15	0.4	1.4	0.3	
30	0.5	0.6	0.1	

^a Conditions, see Footnote †. Pressures and concentrations: CH₄, 50 bar; synthetic air, 15 bar; NaVO₃, 1.0×10^{-4} mol dm⁻³; pH = 7.01 constant in the course of the reaction; 100 °C; 15 h.

b Institut de Chimie, Université de Neuchâtel, Avenue de Bellevaux 51, CH-2000, Neuchâtel, Switzerland.

Table 3 Carboxylation of methane by carbon monoxide in the presence of H_2O_2 catalysed by NaVO₃ and PCA in aqueous solution^a

			Products (concentration/10 ³ mol dm ⁻³)	
Pressure CO/bar	<i>T</i> / °C	t/h	MeCO ₂ H	МеООН
5	25	2	0.9	0.0
		8	1.1	0.0
		16	1.3	0.0
		48	1.6	0.0
	40	2	1.1	0.8
		2 4	1.3	1.4
		8	1.7	1.7
		16	1.8	2.1
		48	1.9	2.6
	60	2	0.4	0.9
		2 4 7	0.5	1.6
			0.5	2.3
		16	0.5	2.8
30	25	2	0.4	0.0
		4	0.7	0.0
		8	0.9	0.0
		16	1.1	0.0
		50	1.6	0.0
	40	2	0.5	0.0
		4	0.8	0.0
		8	1.2	0.0
		16	1.4	0.0
		50	2.2	0.0

 $[^]a$ Conditions, see Footnote ‡. Pressures and concentrations: CH₄, 50 bar; $\rm H_2O_2,~0.1~mol~dm^{-3};~NaVO_3,~1.0\times10^{-4}~mol~dm^{-3};~PCA,~4.0\times10^{-4}~mol~dm^{-3}.$ Value 0.0 means below detection limit (0.5 $\times~10^{-4}~mol~dm^{-3}).$

radicals (dry CO₂ reforming of methane in the presence of solid catalyst at high temperatures is well-known process⁷).

We thank BASF, the Russian Basic Research Foundation, and the Swiss National Science Foundation for support. Authors are indebted to Dr Arthur Höhn, Dr Michael Slany (BASF AG, Ludwigshafen, Germany) and Dr Yuriy N. Kozlov (Institute of Chemical Physics, Moscow) for fruitful discussions.

Notes and References

† The oxidations were carried out in a stainless steel autoclave with intensive stirring (volume of the reaction solution = 30 ml and total volume of autoclave = 100 ml). The autoclave was charged with synthetic air (78% $N_2, 21\%~O_2, 1\%~Ar)$, and consecutively with carbon monoxide and methane to the appropriate pressures. The reactions were stopped by cooling with ice, and the reaction solution was analysed for MeCO₂H and MeOH by GC (DANI-86.10; fused silica capillary column 25 m \times 0.32 mm \times 0.25 μm , CP-WAX52CB; integrator SP-4400), as well as by GC-MS (NERMAG R 30-10, capillary column 25 m \times 0.32 mm \times 0.25 μm , CP-WAX52CB) and $^1 H$ NMR (Varian spectrometer, 200 MHz; in D₂O; in this case GC-MS analysis testified partial H–D exchange in methyl groups of MeCO₂H and

MeOH formed). The concentration of formaldehyde was measured spectrophotometrically after its transformation into 2,6-dimethyl-3,5-diacetyl-1,4-dihydropyridine as described previously.

- ‡ The reaction was carried out in a glass tube placed into the stainless steel autoclave (100 ml, volume of the solution = 10 ml). (CAUTION: the combination of air and H_2O_2 with organic compounds at elevated pressures and temperatures may be explosive!). The resulting solution was analysed by GC (the concentration of MeOOH was measured as concentration of MeOH after reduction of the solution with sodium tetrahydroborate⁴), as well as by GC–MS and 1H NMR.
- 1 Recent reviews: A. E. Shilov and G. B. Shul'pin, Chem. Rev., 1997, 97, 2879; Y. Fujiwara, K. Takaki and Y. Taniguchi, Synlett, 1996, 591; R. H. Crabtree, Chem. Rev., 1995, 95, 987. Recent original papers: R. A. Periana, D. J. Taube, E. R. Evitt, D. G. Löffler, P. R. Wentrcek, G. Voss and T. Masuda, Science, 1993, 259, 340; A. Sen, M. A. Benvenuto, M. Lin, A. C. Hutson and N. Basickes, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 998; Y. Taniguchi, S. Horie, K. Takaki and Y. Fujiwara, J. Organomet. Chem., 1995, 504, 137; N. Basickes, T. E. Hogan and A. Sen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 13111; M. Lin, T. Hogan and A. Sen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 6048; N. Mizuno, H. Ishige, Y. Seki, M. Misono, D.-J. Suh, W. Han and T. Kudo, Chem. Commun., 1997, 1295; B. M. Weckhuysen, D. Wang, M. P. Rosynek and J. H. Lunsford, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1997, 36, 2374; S. Naito, T. Karaki and T. Iritani, Chem. Lett., 1997, 877; K. Okabe, K. Sayama, H. Kusama and H. Arakawa, Chem. Lett., 1997, 457; Q. Zhang and K. Otsuka, Chem. Lett., 1997, 363; M. Ohmae, K. Miyaji, N. Azuma, K. Takeishi, Y. Morioka, A. Ueno, H. Ohfune, H. Hayashi and Y. Udagawa, Chem. Lett., 1997, 31; T. Kodama, T. Shimizu, A. Aoki and Y. Kitayama, Energy Fuels, 1997, 11, 1257; S. H. Bauer, S. Javanovic, C.-L. Yu and H.-Z. Cheng, Energy Fuels, 1997, 11, 1204; H. Handa, T. Baba and Y. Ono, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans., 1998, 94, 451; K. Wada, M. Nakashita, A. Yamamoto and T. Mitsudo, Chem. Commun., 1998, 133; N. Muradov, Energy Fuels, 1998, 12, 41.
- 2 (a) M. Lin and A. Sen, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1992, 892; (b) T. Nishiguchi, K. Nakata, K. Takaki and Y. Fujiwara, Chem. Lett., 1992, 1141; (c) K. Nakata, Y. Yamaoka, T. Miyata, Y. Taniguchi, K. Takaki and Y. Fujiwara, J. Organomet. Chem., 1994, 473, 329; (d) M. Kurioka, K. Nakata, T. Jintoku, Y. Taniguchi, K. Takaki and Y. Fujiwara, Chem. Lett., 1995, 244; (e) M. Lin and A. Sen, Nature, 1994, 368, 613; (f) M. Lin, T. E. Hogan and A. Sen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 4574.
- 3 (a) P. Margl, T. Ziegler and P. E. Blöchl, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 5412; (b) H.-J. Freund, J. Wambach, O. Seiferth and B. Dillmann, Ger. Pat. DE 44 28 566 C1 (to Hoechst A.G.) 1995.
- 4 G. B. Shul'pin, D. Attanasio and L. Suber, J. Catal., 1993, 142, 147;
 G. V. Nizova and G. B. Shul'pin, Russ. Chem. Bull., 1994, 43, 1146;
 G. B. Shul'pin, M. C. Guerreiro and U. Schuchardt, Tetrahedron, 1996, 52, 13 051;
- 4b G. V. Nizova, G. Süss-Fink and G. B. Shul'pin, Chem. Commun., 1997, 397; Tetrahedron, 1997, 53, 3603; G. Süss-Fink, G. V. Nizova, S. Stanislas and G. B. Shul'pin, J. Mol. Catal. A, 1998, 130, 163
- 5 M. M. Kats and G. B. Shul'pin, Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Div. Chem. Sci., 1990, 39, 2233.
- 6 K. Nagahara, I. Ryu, N. Kambe, M. Komatsu and N. Sonoda, J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 7384
- 7 A. P. E. York, J. B. Claridge, A. J. Brungs, S. C. Tsang and M. L. H. Green, *Chem. Commun.*, 1997, 39; J. H. Bitter, K. Seshan and J. A. Lercher, *J. Catal.*, 1997, 171, 279; Y. Lu, J. Xue, Y. Liu and S. Shen, *Chem. Lett.*, 1997, 515; U. Olsbye, T. Wurzel and L. Mleczko, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 1997, 36, 5180; M. C. J. Bradford and M. A. Vannice, *J. Catal.*, 1998, 173, 157.

Received in Cambridge, UK, 22nd June 1998; 8/046991